

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/730,892	12/10/2003	Katherine L. Saenger	20140-00316-US	5181	
30678	7590 01/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			GEYER, S	GEYER, SCOTT B	
SUITE 800 1990 M STR	REET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425			2812		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/19/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the	Filing of	f an Appeal	Brief		

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/730,892	SAENGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Scott Geyer	2812	

	Scott Geyer	2812					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress -				
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS	hartanian ka kha akka af filina a baiaf	will make by antoned by					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6, 7, 9, 11, 13-15</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,8,10,12 and 16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	Vo(s)	/ .				
13. Other:	B	24/1/					
	AAICHAE	EL LEBENTRITT					
		Y PATENT EXAMIN	ER				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant has argued that the applied art does not teach the applicant's invention, because one of ordinary skill in the art would only understand a "thinning process" to be one in which material is removed. The applicant further cites examples of thinning processes as wet etching, dry etching and hybrid damage/wett etching. However, the arguments by the applicant's attorney do not amount to obejctive evidence as to what one of ordinary skill in the art would understand. Further, if the applicant wants to explictly state that the thinning process is an etching step, then the independent claim should be amended so as to recite such a feature.